

Means for detection and purification of CD8+ T lymphocyte populations specific to peptides presented in the context of HLA

5 The invention relates to means for detection and purification of CD8+ T lymphocyte populations specific to peptides presented in the context of HLA.

10 The T lymphocyte carries a receptor specific to the antigen against which it is directed, called TCR. This TCR is composed of several chains, the α and β chains of which are involved in the specific recognition of a particular antigenic peptide presented in an HLA molecule. This recognition is shown by the ability of the α/β TCR of the T lymphocyte to bind with a certain affinity to HLA-peptide complexes present on the surface of the target cell. Reciprocally, soluble HLA-peptide complexes are capable of binding to the TCR present on the surface of T lymphocytes specific to the HLA-peptide complex in question.

20 At the present time, the system studied most at the molecular level is recognition by CD8+ T lymphocytes of antigenic peptides present in class I major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules, and in particular in the HLA-A0201 allele.

30 In this system, it has been established that the affinity of the TCR for the HLA-peptide complex is very low compared to the affinity of an antibody for its antigen. For this reason, detection of TCR-carrier lymphocytes which are reactive towards a specific peptide in this HLA context with the aid of soluble HLA-A0201 molecules which are charged with peptides and marked is impossible. To overcome this low affinity,

Altman et al (1) prepared a multivalent reagent composed of HLA-A0201-peptide complexes where the heavy chain of the HLA is biotinylated, which allows combination as a tetramer with streptavidine. This HLA-peptide tetramer has an increased avidity for the appropriate TCR-carrier T lymphocytes and can therefore be used to visualize reactive populations by immunofluorescence.

However, the TCR is not the only molecule of the T lymphocyte which can interact with the HLA-peptide complex. In fact, during physiological recognition the binding of the TCR to the MHC-peptide complex is intensified by binding of the co-receptor CD8 to a constant portion of class I MHC molecules. The participation of CD8 in the interaction varies from one lymphocyte clone to the other and in some cases can lead to a very significant increase in the capacity for binding to a given HLA-peptide complex. This ability of CD8 to bind to class I HLA consequently leads to a background noise of binding of class I HLA tetramers on the CD8+ T lymphocytes which carry TCR which are non-specific to the HLA peptide complex. This background noise increases with the concentration of tetramer used and can lead to falsely positive immunofluorescence results. To attempt to reduce this non-specific marking, the majority of teams carry out their marking with class I HLA tetramers in the presence of anti-CD8 antibodies. However, only some anti-CD8 antibodies are effective and the optimum concentration ratios between the antibody and the tetramer must be readjusted for each test. As a result of these disadvantages, detection of specific sub-populations with a low representation within a non-specific population (for example of the order of 0.1 to 1%) becomes difficult.

Another potential application of HLA tetramers has moreover been proposed. This comprises isolation by screening (in flow cytometry or by immunomagnetic screening) of lymphocyte populations which are reactive towards a given HLA-peptide complex for the purpose of *in vitro* expansion and then therapeutic use within passive anti-viral or anti-tumoral immunization protocols. However, the background noise of binding of the tetramer due to the participation of CD8 may constitute a serious obstacle in this application, since it leads to isolation of an often significant fraction of T lymphocytes which are not reactive with respect to the selecting HLA-peptide complex.

Salter et al (2) have shown that binding of a membrane HLA expressed by cells transfected with a CD8 $\alpha\alpha$ co-receptor was modified when the HLA carried a mutation in the $\alpha\beta$ domain.

Study of such mutations by the inventors has led them to verify that soluble mutated tetramers effectively bind less CD8, regardless of whether $\alpha\alpha$ or $\alpha\beta$, combined or not combined with a TCR on the surface of the T lymphocyte, which manifests itself in a reduction in the background noise.

It is therefore to be expected that the loss in affinity resulting from the mutation leads to a loss in the specific signal which is total or restricted to certain CD8-dependent T lymphocyte clones. In this respect, the article by Salter et al shows that certain CD8-dependent alloreactive clones lose their cytotoxicity with respect to cells carrying mutated HLA-A2, whereas others are less affected.

It would thus be possible that the mutated tetramers detect only a fraction of reactive cells (the less CD8-

dependent) within a polyclonal population.

The numerous comparative markings of polyclonal populations with mutated and native tetramers carried out by the inventors by double-marking with an anti-CD8 antibody demonstrate that, on the contrary, the mutated tetramer unexpectedly recognizes the same percentage of specific cells as the native tetramer.

Furthermore, comparison of the marking with the mutated tetramer on a highly CD8-dependent clone and a clone of low CD8 dependency shows a comparable effectiveness of the binding of the tetramer with respect to the intensity of the expression of the TCR.

It thus seems that the mutation very significantly reduces binding of the tetramer to CD8 alone, but affects its binding to the TCR-CD8 complex much less.

The invention therefore lies in the utilization of the properties demonstrated in mutated or, more generally, modified HLA multimers and provides such multimers and their complexes with antigenic peptides, as new products.

It also provides the use of these molecules for the detection and/or isolation of peptide-specific CD8+ T lymphocyte populations.

It additionally provides a method for detection and/or isolation of such populations with the aid of such molecules which are charged with peptide, in particular for applications in diagnostics and therapeutics.

The multimers according to the invention are built up from recombinant protein analogues of class I MHC and are characterized in that the proteins comprise at least one modification in the zone of interaction of a heavy chain of the class I MHC with the CD8 co-receptor of T lymphocytes, leading to a reduction, or even suppression of the affinity of the interaction between the heavy chain and the CD8. The modification of the zone of interaction more specifically relates to the $\alpha 3$ domain of the heavy chain.

More particularly, it is a mutation in the $\alpha 3$ domain of at least one amino acid with respect to the corresponding domain of a native heavy chain which is capable of binding to the said CD8 co-receptor.

There may be mentioned by way of example the mutation of an alanine residue into a valine residue in position 245 of the $\alpha 3$ domain of the HLA-A2 molecule.

The modification may also consist of a chemical modification of at least one amino acid and/or a deletion of at least one amino acid, this or these types of modification being in addition to one or more mutations, where appropriate.

The invention also provides, as new products, complexes built up from the multimers defined above and antigenic peptides.

In these complexes the multimers are present in particular in the form of tetramers.

According to the invention, these complexes are used for the detection and/or isolation of CD8⁺ T lymphocyte

populations which recognize the antigenic peptide of the complexes in a specific manner.

5 The use of the complexes defined above enables the background noise of non-specific binding to be reduced very significantly without modifying the specific marking and, in addition, removes the need for conjoint use of an anti-CD8 antibody during immunofluorescence analyses.

10 In a preferred use, the said complexes are used in a cell screening process, such as immunomagnetic screening.

15 An immunomagnetic screening technique for isolating specific T lymphocytes in mice is described by Luxembourg et al. (5).

20 This technique is based on the use of a system of beads coated with MHC-peptide complexes (produced in a *Drosophila* system; charged with peptides and chemically biotinylated).

25 The invention also provides a method for detection and/or isolation of peptide-specific CD8+ T lymphocyte populations from a polyclonal population. The detection method is characterized in that it comprises:

30 - bringing the polyclonal population into contact with multimers complexed with antigenic peptides as defined above under conditions which allow interaction between the modified class I MHC complexes/peptides and T lymphocyte receptors which have an affinity for the said complexes,

- visualization of lymphocyte populations which are bound to the said complexes.

The visualization is carried out, for example, by

Fluorescence using multimers comprising fluorescent compounds.

The method for isolation of peptide-specific lymphocyte populations from polyclonal populations also falls within the context of the invention and can be used, where appropriate, after the above detection stage.

This method utilizes the immunomagnetic screening technique and is characterized in that it comprises:

- bringing the polyclonal population into contact with magnetic beads on which are bound peptide/class I MHC analogue complexes as defined above under conditions which allow interaction between the said complexes and T lymphocyte receptors which have an affinity for these complexes,
- recovery of the bound populations, the screening operation being repeated, if desired, and/or followed, where appropriate, by a stage
- of *in vitro* amplification of the selected populations.

The increase in the differential between the background noise and specific marking with the modified multimers as defined above allows better discrimination of specific lymphocyte populations within a polyclonal population, and therefore makes immunomagnetic screening with these multimers very effective.

The MHC molecules comprise, for example, an enzymatic biotinylation moiety on the heavy chain. The beads on which the complexes are bound are coupled to streptavidine.

The polyclonal populations originate from samples taken from patients, such as synovial fluids or mononucleated cells of peripheral blood.

The amplification stage of selected populations is advantageously carried out by polyclonal stimulation under conditions which do not affect the representativeness of the amplified populations. PHA, IL2 or irradiated PBL, for example, are used.

The invention also provides T lymphocyte populations which have been selected and, where appropriate, amplified, characterized in that they are made up exclusively of T lymphocytes which are reactive towards the peptide of a given complex.

Such peptide-specific populations are of great interest in therapeutics, and more specifically for applications which rely on their re-administration in accordance with adoptive immunotherapy.

The invention thus provides pharmaceutical compositions, characterized in that they are developed from a peptide-specific T lymphocyte population as defined above in combination with a pharmaceutical vehicle.

Such compositions can advantageously be administered by injection.

It is thus possible to restore an antiviral or antitumoral immunity after injection of T lymphocytes which recognize, respectively, a defined class I MHC/viral or tumoral peptide complex, or to correct an immunity disequilibrium (for example the case of autoimmunity) by the administration of T cells directed against a given antigen and having activatory or inhibitory properties on the immune

response.

Other characteristics and advantages of the invention are given in the examples which follow, which are given purely by way of illustration and in which reference is made to figures 1 to 7, which show, respectively

- figures 1 and 2 show the fluorescence intensity (averages) as a function of the concentrations of native tetramers (1A, 2A) and of corresponding mutated tetramers (1B, 2B) with tetramers charged with peptide originating from BMLF1 (fig. 1) or pp65 (fig. 2),

- figure 3 shows the average fluorescence intensities as a function of the concentrations of native and mutated tetramers with specific clones,

- figure 4A shows the percentage of lysis, with or without anti-CD8 antibodies, of 2 specific clones of a B HLA-A0201 line charged with a BMLF1 peptide, and figures 4A and 4B show the results of the marking of these clones,

- figure 5 shows the results of single (with phycoerythrin, PE) and double (PE and marked anti-CD8 antibodies) marking of native and mutated tetramers with the lymphocytes of 2 patients and

- figures 6 and 7 show the results of immunomagnetic screening carried out with native tetramers and mutated tetramers.

Example 1 : Preparation of an HLA-A0201 tetramer mutated in the zone of interaction with the CD8 co-receptor.

A bacterial expression plasmid containing the cDNA which codes for the heavy chain of HLA-A0201, lengthened by a sequence which codes for an enzymatic biotinylation motive was used (construction according to Altman et al (1)). The

zone which codes for the ~~AG~~ domain had been amplified with the specific primers SEQ ID no. 1

5' CCTTCCAGAAGTGGGTGGCTGTGGTGGTGCC 3'

5 and SEQ ID no. 2

5' GGCACCACCACAGCCACCCACTTCTGGAAGG 3'

10 A mutation of a base to transform the alanine codon into a valine codon was introduced into the amplification fragments using the Stratagene QuickChange Site-directed Mutagenesis R kit.

15 The mutated fragment was reintroduced into the expression plasmid and the presence of the mutation was checked by sequencing.

20 The mutated HLA-A0201 heavy chain had been produced in the bacterial inclusion body and the HLA monomer charged with peptide, and then the corresponding mutated tetramer, could be obtained in accordance with a renaturation protocol previously described by Garboczi et al (3).

25 Example 2 : Comparative study of the effectiveness and specificity of immunofluorescent marking between a native HLA-A0201 tetramer and the mutated HLA-A0201 tetramer charged with different peptides

1) Marking of lymphocyte clones

30 Native HLA-A0201 tetramers and corresponding mutated tetramers were charged either with a peptide originating from the protein BMLF1 of the EBV virus or with a peptide originating from the protein pp65 of CMV.

- 11 -

The native tetramers are obtained in accordance with the technique of example 1, but without the mutation.

Specific and non-specific clones were marked and used at increasing concentrations.

Figure 1 gives the average fluorescence intensity (AFI) obtained with the native HLA-A2/BMLF1 tetramers (figure 1A) and with the corresponding mutated tetramers (figure 1B).

It is found that the native HLA-A2/BM tetramer shows a background noise of binding on some non-specific clones which increases with the dose of tetramer used.

This background noise does not seem associated exclusively with the HLA restriction of the clone in question, but also depends on the peptide charged, since two HLA-A0201-restricted anti-IE1 clones give a high background noise, whereas the similarly HLA-A0201-restricted anti-melan-A clone gives a moderated background noise.

With the mutated tetramer, the averages of the fluorescence (AFI) obtained on the specific clones (BM/A2) are lower than those obtained with the native tetramer, but the background noise on the non-specific clones is virtually zero, regardless of the concentration of tetramer used.

It can be seen that this difference in background noise between the native tetramer and the mutated tetramer is not peculiar to the A2/BM tetramer, since it is also observed with the HLA-A2/pp65 tetramer (see figure 2).

In this figure 2, the average fluorescence is indicated

on a log scale in order to visualize the low background noise of marking obtained with the mutated tetramer. It is found that the differential between the specific marking and the non-specific marking is of the order of $2 \log (10^2)$ with the mutated A2/pp65 tetramer, whereas it is only 1 log with the native tetramer. The two notable exceptions are the two anti-EBV CD4 clones, for which the background noise is very low with the native tetramer. This observation supports the hypothesis according to which the background noise of marking obtained with the native tetramer is due to a certain percentage of non-specific binding of the tetramer to CD8.

Figure 3 shows the marking differences obtained with the mutated and native tetramers charged with pp65 on specific clones. The difference between the saturation curves obtained with the mutated tetramer and the native tetramer show that there is a greater number of binding sites for the native tetramer than for the mutated tetramer on these clones: it is therefore highly probable that in the case of the native tetramer some valencies interact with the CD8 alone, especially since this molecule is expressed at a greatly higher density than that of TCR on the surface of the T lymphocyte.

Other experiments have been carried out to test whether the specific marking with the mutated tetramer was affected significantly by the degree of CD8-dependence of specific clones. In fact, it is well-known that among the T CD8+ clones, some clones have a high need of CD8 to intensify the specific interaction between their TCR and the HLA-peptide complex, while other clones dispense with it.

The degree of CD8 dependency was estimated by the

cytotoxicity test of Couedel et al (4) with or without anti-CD8 antibodies and is regarded as inversely proportional to the affinity of the TCR for the HLA-peptide complex.

5 Figure 4A shows a test of cytotoxicity against an HLA-A0201 line charged with BMLF1 peptide (10 μ M) with two specific clones. The clone A2.10 is highly CD8-dependent since its cytotoxicity is annulled by the anti-CD8 antibody, whereas the A4.5 clone has a relatively low CD8 dependency.

Marking with the mutated tetramer and marking with an anti-CD3 antibody was carried out to estimate the number of TCR expressed on the surface. The results are given in figures 4B (CD3 marking) and 4C (marking with the mutated BMFL1/A2 tetramer).

It is found that the ratio of tetramer marking/CD3 marking is very comparable for the two clones, which indicates that marking with the mutated tetramer is affected little by the degree of CD8 dependency (and thus, by inference, by the TCR affinity).

The reduction in the affinity for CD8 induced by the mutation of the HLA molecule thus does not significantly affect the specific marking of the tetramer.

b) Detection of specific cells within a polyclonal population

To compare the ability of the native and mutated tetramer to detect a small percentage of specific cells within a polyclonal population, peripheral lymphocytes from two HLA-A0201 patients (designated A and B below) were marked. These

are patients in whom an anti-CMV pp65 peptide response was demonstrated beforehand. These lymphocytes were amplified by polyclonal methods in vitro beforehand and frozen.

5 The results obtained for patients A and B are shown in figure 5, figure 5A corresponding to patient A and figure 5B to patient B. They are the results of single marking of HLA-A0201/pp65 tetramers with phycoerythrin in an amount of 20 μ g/ml and corresponding double marking (tetramers marked with
10 PE and anti-CD8 antibodies marked with FITC). This figure also gives the average fluorescence intensity (log).

15 Firstly, it is found that the discrimination ability of the native tetramer with single marking varies according to the percentage of specific cells in the starting population. In fact, for patient A, the peak of positive cells, which represents 5.60%, is easily identifiable, whereas for patient B this peak is contaminated by non-specific marking, which renders it difficult to determine the percentage of positive
20 cells. In agreement with the literature, double marking of native tetramer and anti-CD8 reduces the background noise and therefore allows clear identification of the positive sub-population and precise estimation of its percentage (0.84% in this case). This thus shows that precise estimation of the
25 percentage of positive cells with the native tetramer involves the use of double marking with anti-CD8.

30 On the other hand, single marking with the mutated tetramer allows identification without ambiguity of a peak of positive cells in the two patients. The percentages of positive cells obtained are virtually identical to those obtained with double marking with the native tetramer. This demonstrates that all the specific cells which can be detected

by the native tetramer are also detectable with the mutated tetramer, and thus corroborates the results obtained with the clones of high CD8 and low CD8 dependency, that is to say the mutation does not significantly affect the specific
5 recognition.

Furthermore, these results show that the double marking with the mutated tetramer does not provide new elements with respect to single marking. Finally, it can be seen that the
10 averages of the fluorescence obtained with the anti-CD8 antibodies with double marking with the native tetramer (216 and 193 for patients A and B) are significantly lower than those obtained with double marking with the mutated tetramer (366 and 370 for patients A and B). The averages of the
15 fluorescence obtained after single marking of the total population with anti-CD8 were, respectively, 340 and 355 for patients A and B. This demonstrates the binding competition between the anti-CD8 antibody and the native tetramer, competition which does not result with the mutated tetramer.

20 The use of the mutated tetramer thus allows double marking with anti-CD8 to estimate the percentage of specific cells within a polyclonal population to be dispensed with.

25 Example 3: Comparative study of the effectiveness and specificity of immunomagnetic screening carried out with the native HLA-A0201 tetramer and the mutated HLA-A0201 tetramer charged with the peptide p65.

30 Biotinylated HLA-A0201 monomers charged with peptide pp65 were bound to magnetic beads coupled to streptavidine (Dynabeads M-280 Streptavidine, DYNAL). The lymphocyte populations used in the study originated from the synovial

fluids or PBL of patients suffering from rheumatoid polyarthrititis or PBL originating from healthy donors seropositive in respect of CMV.

5 The results of single marking with the native tetramer and the mutated tetramer on these polyclonal populations are shown in figure 6. With the mutated tetramer it is possible to demonstrate a low percentage of positive cells in the two samples (0.22% and 0.14%), positive cells which are virtually
10 unidentifiable with the native tetramer.

15 These populations were subjected to screening with beads charged either with the native tetramer or with the mutated tetramer, and the populations selected were then amplified in vitro by polyclonal stimulation (PHA, IL2, irradiated PBL), a stimulation which does not affect the representativeness of the amplified populations.

20 Marking was then carried out on these populations which had been screened and amplified with the two tetramers.

25 As shown in figure 6, the cells originating from screening with the native tetramer are marked positively with this same tetramer, but on the other hand a very low percentage of these cells are positive with the mutated tetramer (0.7% and 2.88%).

30 The results are remarkably different after screening with the mutated tetramer, since the populations which have been screened are highly positive with the two tetramers.

 The concentration factor of positive cells obtained with the mutated tetramer is 421 for sample 1 (92.64% v. 0.22%) and

693 for sample 2 (97.01% v. 0.14%).

5 These results show that by screening with the mutated tetramer, it is possible to obtain a pure positive population of more than 90% from a sample in which this population represents 0.1 to 0.2%.

10 The general nature of this observation is illustrated by the table below, which describes the results of the screening carried out with the native tetramer and the mutated tetramer starting from PBL and synovial lymphocytes of patients and healthy donors.

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183
2184
2185
2186
2187
2188
2189
2190
2191
2192
2193
21

702423 647233

% of positive cells of tetramers (concentration factor)

Samples	Not screened	First screening with		Second screening with	
		A2/pp65 native	A2/pp65 mutated	A2/pp65 native	A2/pp65 mutated
SFL 1	14.0	95.0 (6.8)			
PBL 1	5.6	89.5 (16.0)	97.9 (17.5)		
SFL 2	1.4	25.3 (18.1)		75.9 (3.0)	
PBL 2	0.8	51.1 (63.9)	97.5 (121.9)		
SFL 3	0.6	13.7 (22.8)			
PBL 3	nd	8.5 (nd)		12.0 (1.4)	
SFL 4	0.3	4.1 (13.6)		8.6 (2.1)	
SFL 5	0.2	0.7 (3.5)	92.5 (462.5)		
SFL 6	0.14	2.9 (20.6)	97.1 (693.6)		
PBL 4	0.00		82.9 (921.1)		
PBL 5	0.02	0.04 (2.0)	2.2 (110.0)	98.7	(44.90)

By carrying out repeated screening with the mutated tetramer, it is possible to obtain pure specific populations from sub-populations which are even less frequent (cf. PBL5 in the table). On the other hand, this result is much more difficult to obtain with the native tetramer; it seems in fact that the non-specific cells isolated by a first screening with the native tetramer had an affinity sufficient to be selected again during the subsequent screening. Very mediocre concentration factors are thus arrived at by carrying out a second screening (cf. PBL3 and SFL4).

Another study related to the reactivity of populations which had been subjected to screening to verify that the cells selected for their ability to bind the mutated A2/pp65 tetramer were certainly reactive with respect to this HLA-peptide complex in a physiological context.

To this effect, the activation of screened lymphocyte populations, objectified by induction on the surface of the α chain of the receptor for IL2 (CD25), after placing in the presence of T2 cells (A0201+) charged with peptide pp65, was studied.

As shown in figure 7, the populations which had been screened using the native tetramer do not express CD25 after contact with T2 cells charged with peptides.

On the other hand, the majority of these cells which have been screened with the mutated tetramer become activated in the presence of T2 charged with the peptide pp65 and the percentage of CD25-positive cells corresponds well with the percentage of cells which were marked by the mutated tetramer (90.63% of activated cells v. 92.64% of marked cells and

97.20% v. 97.01% for patients 1 and 2 respectively).

These results demonstrate that the cells marked and screened with the mutated tetramer are exclusively reactive cells, whereas the cells screened with the native tetramer are non-reactive.

The fact that no reactive cell is found after screening with the native tetramer whereas a distinction between some positive cells in this population was achieved is probably due to too low a percentage of these cells for them to be detectable in a functional test.

All of these results demonstrate the manifest superiority of the mutated tetramer with respect to the native tetramer for selection by immunomagnetic screening of populations with a low representation in the starting population.

If the specific populations are more numerous in the starting sample, it thus becomes possible to isolate reactive cells with the native tetramer, but the degrees of purity of the populations obtained are much lower than those obtained in parallel with the mutated tetramer (table).

BIBLIOGRAPHY REFERENCES

- 1) Altman J.D. et al, 1996, Science 274:94-6 and US Patent 5 635 363
- 2) Salter, R.D. et al, 1990, Nature 345:41-46
- 3) Garboczi D.N. et al, 1992, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89:3429-33
- 4) Couedel, C., M. et al, 1999, J. Immunol 162 6351-B.
- 5) Luxembourg A.T. et al, Nature Biotechnology, vol. 16, March 1998, 281-285